Available online at http://www.journalijisr.com

Research Article



GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS OF MAJOR TRAITS IN EARLY MATURING RAINFED RICE GENOTYPES AT PARWANIPUR, BARA, NEPAL

¹* Sangita Bhujel, ²Abhisekh Shrestha and ³Swagat Poudel

¹Assistant Lecturer, G.P. Koirala College of Agriculture and Research Center, Gothgaun, Morang, Nepal. ²National Sugarcane Research Program, Jitpur, Bara, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal. ³Master in Agriculture, Rampur Campus, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.

Received 17th May 2021; Accepted 19th June 2021; Published online 28th July 2021

ABSTRACT

Genetic variability is the fundamental requirement to develop superior cultivar variety in any breeding program. The objective of this study was to estimate the genetic variability and find out the correlation among the different quantitative traits of early rain fed rice genotypes at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Parwanipur, Bara during 2019. Twenty- two genotypes including Hardinath-3 and Anmol Mansuli as check varieties were evaluated in the randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Various Quantitative traits were evaluated and character association was estimated. Analysis of variance showed highly significant difference at 1% level of significance among the genotypes for all the traits studied except for panicle length which indicates the existence of genetic variability and potential for selection and further improvement. Phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than genotypic coefficient of variation which indicates the influence of environment for all the traits studied. The presence of high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) for most of the traits which indicates presence of additive gene action. Positive and highly significant correlations were found in number of filled grains per panicle (r=0.259**) and dry matter (r=0.276**) which indicates that these traits have positive effects on grain yield and it helps in selection and improvement of rice genotypes. However, negative and highly significant correlation exhibits between days to flowering (r=-0.288**), days to maturity (r=-0.318**), and number of tillers per m2 (r=-0.290**). Thus, overall results indicate the presence of variability and remarkable genetic advance could be considered as the most appropriate traits for improvement and selection of trait for high yielding rice genotypes.

Keywords: Correlation, genetic variability, heritability, rice genotypes.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the important staple crop in Nepal and ranks first in terms of production and productivity (Tiwari et al., 2018).It is the first most important staple food crop in Nepal and is grown in 149,1744 ha with production of 5610011 mt and productivity 3.76t/ha (MoAID, 2019). In Nepal, 73%, 24% and 3% of total rice cultivated areas in terai, mid-hills and mountains, respectively (MOAD,2017). As the most important staple food of Nepalese people, rice supplies about 40% of the food calorie intake and contributes about 20% to the agricultural gross domestic product (AGDP) (MoAD, 2018). Although country has a high potential for rice production in irrigated areas, yield is very low in rain fed rice as compared to irrigated areas. Lack of adaptable varieties is one of the problem in rain fed conditions. Early or late maturing rice genotypes could be potential alternative to overcome drought effects under rain fed rice growing conditions. Development of high yielding varieties needs the knowledge of existing genetic variability. The available variability can be measured using genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation which is used to partition genetic and environmental variance (Singh et al., 2017). Grain yield is a complex polygenic quantitative trait which is greatly affected by environment and determined by the magnitude and nature of their genetic variability (Singh et al., 2000). Consequently, selection for yield may not be satisfying without taking into consideration yield component traits. Thus, positives correlated between yield and yield components are requires for effective yield component breeding increasing grain yield in rice (Ogunbayo et al., 2014). The variability, heritability and genetic advance on different traits of rice are necessary for developing appropriate breeding and

*Corresponding Author: Sangita Bhujel,

1Assistant Lecturer, G.P. Koirala College of Agriculture and Research Center, Gothgaun, Morang, Nepal.

selection strategies to increase yield. The aim of this research is to evaluate rice genotypes in order to identify their genetic variability, character association in rain fed condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the research field of Regional Agricultural Research Station, Parwanipur, Bara, Nepal during 2019 from first week of June to second week of November. It is located at 27.07° N latitude and 84.91°E' longitude with an elevation of 115 masl. The soil is silty loam. Twenty-two genotypes with two check (Anmol mansuli and Hardinath 3) was evaluated at research field of Regional Agricultural Research Station, Parwanipur, Bara in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications. The size pf plot was 5m*3m and spacing between row to row and plant to plant was 20cm *20 cm. The distance between two plots is 50 cm and distance between two replications is 1m. Seed was shown on flat bed on 4th June and 21 days' healthy seedlings were transplanted in the main field. Farm yard manure was applied 10t/ha and NPK used was 80:40:30 kg/ha. Five plants were randomly selected from each plot in each replication and their means were used for the statistical analysis. Observations were recorded on different traits like plant height, panicle length, days to flowering, days to maturity, number of tillers per m2, number of filled grains per panicle, number of unfilled grains per panicle, sterility, 1000 grain weight, dry matter, harvest index, biological yield and grain yield (kg/ha).

Table 1: Genotypes details

E.N.	Genotypes	E.N.	Genotypes	
1	1R 93810-2-1-1-1	12	IR 14L 145	
2	Anmol Mansuli*	13	IR 14L 158	

3	GSR 310	14	IR 14L 160
4	Hardinath-3 *	15	IR 14L 363
5	HHZ25-DT9-Y1-Y1	16	IR 14L 572
6	HHZ6-DT1-L11-L11	17	IR 14L 576
7	IR 103575-76-1-1-B	18	IR 15L 1717
8	IR 103575-76-1-2-B	19	IR 96279-33-3-1-2
9	IR 103587-22-2-3-B	20	IR 98846-2-1-4-3
10	IR 106529-20-40-3-2-B	21	IR08L181
11	IR 14D 198	22	IR102774-31-21-2-4-7

Phenotypic and Genotypic coefficients of variation were calculated by using method suggested by Lush (1940) and Chaudhary and Prasad (1968).

Phenotypic	coefficient	of	variation	(PCV)=
Phenotypic st	andard deviatio	$\frac{n}{2}$ + 10	0	
Gene	ral mean	- * I(0	
Genotypic	Coefficient	of	variation	(GCV)=
Phenotypic st	andard deviatio	on 1	00	
Gene	eral mean	- * I	00	

According to SivaSubramanian and Menon, 1973 PCV and GCV values more than 20% are regarded as high, whereas values less than 10% are regarded as low and between 10% and 20% are to be moderate. Heritability was calculated by the formula given by Falconer (1996) which is as below:

H= Vg/ Vp *100

Where, H= Heritability in broad sense; Vg= genotypic variance; Vp = Phenotypic variance

Heritability percentage is categorized as low, medium and high as followed by (Robinson et al., 1949) as follows; 0-30% Low; 30-60% moderate and > 60% High. Under Selection expected Genetic advance for each character at 5% selection intensity was computed by the formula described by (Johnson et. al., 1955).

Genetic Advance (GA) = k σp. H

Where: k = constant (selection differential where k = 2.056 at 5% selection intensity); σp = phenotypic standard deviation; H = broad sense heritability.

Genetic advances as percent of mean was calculated to compare the extent of predicted advances of different traits under selection, using the formula

GAM= GAX ×100 (Falconer, 1996),

where GAM=genetic advances as percent of mean, GA = Genetic advances under selection, X = Mean of population in which selection will be employed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The analysis of variance showed that there was a highly significant difference at 1% level of significance among the genotypes tested for all the traits except for panicle length. Similar results were obtained by Baekal et al., (2016), and Sumanth et al., (2017). This indicates the genotypes are highly variable especially those traits which showed significant difference. Thus, the possibility genetic improvement through selection is highly promising. The study by North (2013) also reported significant difference in genotypes. Analysis of variance for important traits in rain fed rice genotypes presented in table.

Characters	MSS Replication	MSS Treatment	Error	CV(%)
Days to 50% flowering	11.69	451.120**	6.090	2.6
Days to maturity	0.212	456.911**	2.894	0.1
Plant Height (cm)	50.57	427.52**	10.82	2.9
Panicle length (cm)	11.73	13.56*	6.54	9.5
Number of tillers per m ²	325.7	4593.8**	482.0	8.3
Number of filled grains per panicle	9316.0	10910**	2282.0	7.2
Number of unfilled grains per panicle	3.24	2.91**	183.6	10.8
Sterility	3.010	7.382**	2.654	10.3
Dry matter	4.39	5.00**	0.95	2.9
Harvest Index	9.46	253.46**	23.60	9.9
1000 seed weight	3.912	25.692**	2.376	7.2
Biological Yield (kg/ha)	27.471	31.282**	5.997	9.1
Grain yield (t/ha)	0.3676	1.6323**	0.2664	9.3

Table 2: Analysis of variance for important traits in rain fed rice genotypes

Significant codes: "**" 0.01, "*" 0.05

Estimation of Genetic Parameters

The highest phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation was exhibited in harvest index followed by number of tillers per m², 1000 seed weight, grain yield, days to 50% flowering, biological yield and dry matter. This indicates that there is possibility of traits improved through selection and hybridization. High heritability in Grain yield was also reported by Sumanth *et al.*, (2017). Moderate GCV and PCV was found in days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, filled grains per panicle, unfilled grains per panicle, and sterility. The genetic variation result showed that phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was relatively higher than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV).

This revealed that influence of environment on phenotypic expression of each trait (North, 2013). Similar results were obtained by Rashid et al., (2017), and Sumanth et al., (2017). A small difference exists between GCV and PCV for days to 50% flowering, which indicates less influence of environment on such trait. Highest heritability was recorded for days to 50% flowering (99), days to maturity (99), plant height (98), tillers per m2 (90), number of filled grains per panicle (82), number of unfilled grains per panicle (72) and sterility (71) which indicates that there is less environmental effect in phenotypic expression of such traits. Medium heritability was obtained in panicle length (59), which indicates that there is more influence of environment on this trait. Similar result was reported by Baekal et al., (2016). Since heritability do not always indicate genetic gain, heritability coupled with genetic advance is more effective for selection. A moderate amount of genetic advance as percentage of mean was found in panicle length. Similar result was revealed by Rashid et al., (2017). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) in most of the traits which indicates these traits were influenced by environment, governed by additive gene action and can easily be selected through phenotypic selection. This result was in line with Sumanth et al., (2017). The remaining trait panicle length shows medium heritability with medium GAM which indicates this character was governed by additive gene action, which means that heterosis breeding can be used for such trait (Sivasubramanian, 1973). Similar results were obtained by Baekal et al., (2016).

Traits	Gen-var	phen-var	Er-var	PCV	GCV	Н	GA	GAM
DF	439.09	445.18	6.09	22.22	22.07	99	42.87	45.15
DM	455.15	458.04	2.89	16.93	16.87	99	43.81	34.65
PH (cm)	423.91	434.73	10.82	18.14	17.91	98	41.88	36.44
PL (cm)	11.38	17.92	6.54	15.76	12.56	59	5.54	19.62
T/M ²	4433.13	4915.13	482	26.36	25.03	90	130.26	48.97
FG	10149.33	12431.33	2282	16.75	15.14	82	187.52	28.17
UFG	471.20	657.5	186.3	20.53	17.38	72	37.86	30.31
ST %	6.50	9.15	2.65	19.07	16.07	71	4.42	27.9
BY(kg/ha)	29.29	35.28	5.99	22.01	20.05	83	10.16	37.63
GY(t/ha)	1.54	1.81	0.27	24.22	22.37	85	2.36	42.56
HI(%)	245.59	269.19	23.6	33.27	31.78	91	30.84	62.53
Dm	4.68	5.63	0.95	22	20.06	83	4.06	37.67
TW(g)	24.90	27.27	2.38	24.31	23.23	91	9.82	45.72

Table 3: Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance

Correlation Coefficient

The phenotypic correlation coefficient results showed the presence of positive and highly significant correlation between yield and Number of filled grains per panicle (0.259^{**}), and dry matter (0.276^{**}). This shows that these traits can be used for direct selection. These results were in consonance with the earlier reports of Shashidhar et al., (2005). Negative but highly significant correlation exists between days to 50% flowering (- 0.288^{**}), days to maturity (- 0.318^{**}) and number of tillers per m2(- 0.290^{**}). The traits thousand seed weight, number of unfilled grains per panicle showed non-significant relation with grain yield per hectare. Karim et al., (2007) reported similar results for grain yield per plant. Days to 50% flowering showed strong positive and highly significant correlation (r= 0.971^{**}) with days to maturity. This corroborates with the findings of Reddy et al., (2008) and Immanueal (2011). Ogunbayo et al., (2014) and Kumar et al., (2014) also reported significant positive correlation between days to flowering and maturity.

Table 4:	Correlation	coefficient	analysis
----------	-------------	-------------	----------

	DF	DM	рН	PL	T/M ²	TW	FG	UFG	ST	GPP	Dm	HI	GY
DF	1	.971**	.184*	0.069	.666**	-0.12	-0.079	226**	-0.13	-0.102	0.025	268**	288**
DM		1	.197*	0.075	.668**	-0.122	-0.048	239**	-0.162	-0.063	0.024	254**	318**
PH			1	0.016	-0.004	0.125	-0.011	-0.004	0.015	-0.028	194*	201*	-0.166
PL				1	0.093	-0.114	0.021	.242**	.193*	0.024	-0.093	-0.032	171*
T/m²					1	-0.159	-0.119	213*	-0.085	232**	0.095	253**	290**
TW						1	259**	-0.027	0.153	304**	0.132	.262**	0.1
FG							1	.206*	492**	.887**	0.029	0.085	.259**
UFG								1	.746**	.204*	-0.115	0.07	0.03
ST									1	424**	-0.129	-0.004	-0.164
GPP										1	-0.023	0.131	.233**
Dm											1	.348**	.276**
HI												1	0.059
GY													1

CONCLUSION

The overall result showed the presence of variability in the genotypes studied. Characters having high GCV and PCV recorded for grain yield, days to 50% flowering, 1000 seed weight, number of tillers per m2suggesting existence of variability and can be utilize in efficient selection for genetic improvement program. High heritability coupled with GAM was observed for filled grains per panicle, 1000 seed weight, number of tillers per m2 which indicate control of additive gene action and these traits can be selected for further yield improvement program. Number of filled grains per panicle and dry matter has positive and highly significant correlation with grain yield. Therefore, it should be considered for selection in breeding program.

REFERENCES

- Chaudhary L.B., and Prasad B. (1968) Genetic variation and heritability of quantitative characters in Indian mustard (*Brassica Juncea*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, 38:820-825.
- Falconer, D.S., and Mackay, T.F.C. (1996) An Introduction to Quantitative Genetics.4th ed., Prentice Hall, London.
- Immanuel, S. C., N. Pothiraj, K. Thiyagarajan, M. Bharathi and R. Rabindran. (2011). Genetic parameters of variability, correlation and pathcoefficient studies for grain yield and other yield attributes among rice blast disease resistant genotypes of rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). African Journal of Biotechnology. 10(17): 3322-3334.
- IRRI. (2018). International Rice Research Institute News. Website: <u>https://www.cgiar.org/research/center/irri/</u> (Retrieved on June 1, 2020).
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, and Comstock, R. (1955) Estimates of Genetic and Environmental Variability in Soybeans 1. Agronomy Journal 47: 314-318.
- Karim, D., U. Siddique, M. N. A. Miah and M. Z. Hasnat. (2007). Variability and genetic parameter analysis in aromatic rice. Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 2(5): 15-18.
- Kumar, S. (2014). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation coefficient for vegetative and floral characters of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*). International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 7(3): 527-533.
- Lush, J.L. (1940). Inter-size correlation regression of offspring on diary as a method of estimating heritability of characters. Proceedings American Society of Animal Production, 33, 293-301.
- MoAD. (2017). Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture 2072/73 (2015/16). Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development. Monitoring, Evaluation and Statistics Division. Agri Statistics Section. Singha Durbar, Kathmandu. Nepal.

MoAD. (2018). Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture 2072/73 (2015/16). Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development. Monitoring, Evaluation and Statistics Division. Agri Statistics Section. Singha Durbar, Kathmandu. Nepal.

1506

- MoAD. (2019). Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture 2072/73 (2015/16). Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development. Monitoring, Evaluation and Statistics Division. Agri Statistics Section. Singha Durbar, Kathmandu. Nepal.
- North, S. (2013) Estimation of genetic variability and correlation for grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Global Journal of Plant Ecophysiology 3: 1-6.
- Ogunbayo, S. A., M. Ojo, D. K. Sanni, K. A. Akinwale and M. G. Toulou. (2014). Genetic variation and heritability of yield and related traits in promising rice genotypes (Oryza sativa L.). Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science. 6(11): 153-159.
- Rashid MM., Nuruzzaman M., Hassan L., Begum SN. (2017). Genetic variability analysis for various yield attributing traits in rice genotypes. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University 15: 15-19.
- Reddy, B., A.K. Sarawgi and S.B. Verulkar. (2008). Study of heritability, genetic advance and variability for yield contributing characters in rice. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research .34(2): 175-179
- Robinson, H.F., Cornstock, R.E. and Harvey, P.H. (1949). Estimates of heritability and degree of dominance in corn. Agronomy Journal, 41, 353-359.
- Sashidhar, H. E., F. Pasha, J. Manjunath and K. Adnan. (2005). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in traditional cultivars and doubled haploids lines of rainfed low land rice. 42: 156-159.
- Singh, R. K., P. L. Gautam, S. Saxena and S. Singh. (2000). Scented rice germplasm: conservation, evaluation and utilization. Aromatic rices. Kalyani, New Delhi. 107- 133.
- Singh, S. K., C. M. Singh and G. M. Lal. (2017). Assessment of genetic variability for yield and its component characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Research in Plant Biology. 1(4)
- Sivasubramanian S. and Menon M. (1973) Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. Madras Agric J 60: 1139.
- Sumanth V., Suresh BG., Ram BJand Srujana G. (2017) Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 6: 1437-1439.